# VAT UPDATE 2017/18 INDEX

Covering quarterly update April 2017

# VAT Update April 2017 Index

# INTRODUCTION

This index arranges the material in the update according to type of source (CJEU decision, High Court, Tribunal, new law etc.). If you are looking for a particular word or name, use the "find" function to find it.

This is a cumulative for the year to date. Each January's index will be the final index for the calendar year to 31 December.

| INTR | ODUCTION                                         | .1  |
|------|--------------------------------------------------|-----|
| INDE | X BY SOURCE                                      | .1  |
| 1    | HMRC's publications of their views               | . 1 |
| 2    | Statute and other Parliamentary material         | . 1 |
| 3    | Other UK official material                       | .2  |
| 4    | Case law: Court of Justice of the European Union | .2  |
| 5    | Other European material                          | .3  |
| 6    | Case law: House of Lords/Supreme Court           | .3  |
| 7    | Case law: Court of Appeal/Court of Session       | .4  |
| 8    | Case law: High Court/Upper Tribunal              | .4  |
| 9    | Case law: VAT Tribunal/First Tier Tribunal       | .4  |
| 10   | Other material                                   | . 5 |
| 11.  | Lectures                                         | .8  |

# INDEX BY SOURCE

#### 1 HMRC's publications of their views

| CC/FS12                                                                   | Updated factsheet Compliance checks:<br>penalties for VAT and Excise<br>wrongdoing     | Apr 17 6.9.7 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Notice 700/67                                                             | Updated Notice Registration scheme for racehorse owners                                | Apr 17 6.2.4 |
| Notice 702                                                                | Updated Notice Imports                                                                 | Apr 17 4.3.6 |
| Notice 733                                                                | Updated Notice on Flat Rate Scheme<br>taking into account changes from 1<br>April 2017 | Apr 17 6.3.2 |
| R & C Brief 01/2017                                                       | HMRC set out conditions for historic bad debt claims following <i>GMAC</i>             | Apr 17 5.7.1 |
| VAT Information Sheet 1/2017                                              | Table of VAT MOSS exchange rates<br>for quarter to December 2016                       | Apr 17 4.1.2 |
| VAT Information Sheet 2/2017                                              | Romanian VAT rate 19% with effect from 1 January 2017                                  | Apr 17 4.1.3 |
| VAT Information Sheet 3/2017                                              | Internet access subject to 18% in<br>Hungary from 1 January 2017                       | Apr 17 4.1.3 |
| www.gov.uk/government/publications/<br>vat-supplying-digital-services-to- | Updated guide on MOSS                                                                  | Apr 17 4.1.1 |

| private-consumers |  |
|-------------------|--|

# 2 Statute and other Parliamentary material

| SI 2017/290                                                                                                                                       | Annual increase in registration thresholds                                                                  | Apr 17 6.2.3 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| SI 2017/295                                                                                                                                       | Final version of changes to Flat Rate<br>Scheme from 1 April 2017                                           | Apr 17 6.3.2 |
| SI/Draft The Enactment of Extra-<br>Statutory Concessions Order 2017                                                                              | Enactment of ESC 3.20 disapplying<br>s.26A where insolvency has<br>commenced                                | Apr 17 6.9.5 |
| House of Commons 7 February 2017:<br>"UK tax after the EU referendum"                                                                             | Commons library comments on Brexit consequences                                                             | Apr 17 6.9.1 |
| No reference                                                                                                                                      | Finance Bill contains new penalty for involvement in VAT fraud                                              | Apr 17 6.8.2 |
| No reference                                                                                                                                      | Relaxation in Making Tax Digital<br>timetable for businesses below the<br>VAT registration threshold        | Apr 17 6.9.2 |
| No reference                                                                                                                                      | Finance Bill contains measures to<br>strength disclosure of VAT avoidance<br>scheme rules                   | Apr 17 6.9.4 |
| Northern Ireland Affairs Committee<br>21 March 2017                                                                                               | Commons committee calls for VAT<br>changes following Brexit                                                 | Apr 17 6.9.1 |
| www.gov.uk/government/consultations<br>/draft-legislation-the-value-added-<br>tax-refund-of-tax-to-museums-and-<br>galleries-amendment-order-2017 | Draft order on new list of museums<br>qualifying for VAT repayment                                          | Apr 17 5.8.3 |
| www.gov.uk/government/consultations<br>/vat-fraud-in-labour-provision-in-<br>construction-sector                                                  | Proposals for long-term changes<br>announced for consultation in March<br>Budget                            | Apr 17 6.9.3 |
| www.gov.uk/government/consultations<br>/vat-tackling-fraud-on-goods-sold-<br>online-update-on-split-payment                                       | Government "call for evidence" on<br>possibility of collecting VAT on<br>internet sales by "split payments" | Apr 17 4.3.1 |
| www.gov.uk/government/consultations<br>/withdrawal-of-extra-statutory-<br>concessions-2017                                                        | Consultation on enactment of extra statutory concessions                                                    | Apr 17 6.9.5 |
| www.gov.uk/government/publications/<br>vat-refund-scheme-for-museums-and-<br>galleries                                                            | Explanation of new list of museums qualifying for VAT repayment                                             | Apr 17 5.8.3 |

#### 3 Other UK official material

| www.gov.uk/government/publications/<br>ots-publishes-interim-paper-on-<br>review-of-value-added-tax;<br>www.gov.uk/government/collections/o | Office of Tax Simplification publishes<br>areas for review of VAT system | Apr 17 6.9.6 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| ts-review-of-value-added-tax                                                                                                                |                                                                          |              |

# 4 Case law: Court of Justice of the European Union

| CJEU (A-G) (Case C-26/16): Santogal<br>M-Comércio e Reparação de<br>Automóveis Lda v Autoridade<br>Tributária e Aduaneira         | A-G considers rules for exemption of new means of transport                                                                                           | Apr 17 4.3.3  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| CJEU (Case C-21/16): Euro Tyre BV v<br>Autoridade Tributária e Aduaneira                                                          | Despatches satisfied substantive<br>conditions for exemption, even if<br>recipient was not registered as<br>international operator in Spain           | Apr 17 4.3.2  |
| CJEU (Case C-28/16): Magyar<br>Villamos Művek Zrt. (MVM) v<br>Nemzeti Adó- és Vámhivatal<br>Fellebviteli Igazgatósága             | Holding company that did not charge<br>subsidiaries for management services<br>was not entitled to claim related input<br>tax                         | Apr 17 5.1.1  |
| CJEU (Case C-344/15): National<br>Roads Authority v The Revenue<br>Commissioners                                                  | Public authority operating toll roads<br>was not in direct competition with<br>businesses operating different roads,<br>so distortion would not arise | Apr 17 2.1.1  |
| CJEU (Case C-37/16): Minister<br>Finansów v Stowarzyszenie Artystów<br>Wykonawców Utworów Muzycznych i<br>Słowno-Muzycznych SAWP  | Statutory levy on recording media was<br>not consideration for any supply                                                                             | Apr 17 4.4.2  |
| CJEU (Case C-471/15): Sjelle<br>Autogenbrug I/S v Skatteministeriet                                                               | Cars bought for breaking up into spare<br>parts were within the scope of the<br>second hand margin scheme                                             | Apr 17 2.10.1 |
| CJEU (Case C-493/15): Agenzia delle<br>Entrate v Identi                                                                           | Italy was not in breach of EU<br>regulations where VAT debts were<br>written off in bankruptcy                                                        | Apr 17 4.4.3  |
| CJEU (Case C-573/15): Etat belge v<br>Oxycure Belgium SA                                                                          | Oxygen compressors did not qualify<br>for lower rate in Belgium, even<br>though oxygen tanks did                                                      | Apr 17 4.4.4  |
| CJEU (Case C-592/15): HMRC v<br>British Film Institute                                                                            | Cultural services exemption was not<br>sufficiently certain to have direct<br>effect                                                                  | Apr 17 2.3.1  |
| CJEU (Reference) (Case C-499/16):<br>AZ v Minister Finansów                                                                       | Questions about fiscal neutrality and<br>lower rate for food with short or long<br>shelf life                                                         | Apr 17 4.4.5  |
| CJEU (Reference) (Case C-500/16):<br>Caterpillar Financial Services Poland<br>sp. z o.o. v Dyrektor Izby Skarbowej w<br>Warszawie | Questions about effectiveness of<br>rights where delay by authorities<br>meant trader was out of time to claim<br>a repayment                         | Apr 17 4.4.6  |

#### 5 Other European material

| ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/consul<br>tations-get-involved/tax-<br>consultations/public-consultation-<br>functioning-administrative- | Consultation on updating the rules<br>governing administrative co-operation<br>in tackling cross-border VAT fraud in<br>the EU | Apr 17 4.4.1 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| cooperation-and-fight-against-fraud-                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                |              |

| field-vat_en |  |
|--------------|--|

#### 6 Case law: Supreme Court

| Supreme Court: Jetivia SA and unother v Bilta (UK) Ltd and others | Directors of company that engaged in<br>MTIC fraud could not avoid liability | Apr 17 6.9.10 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
|                                                                   | to the liquidator                                                            |               |

# 7 Case law: Court of Appeal/Court of Session

| Court of Appeal: Associated<br>Newspapers Ltd v HMRC | Upper Tribunal's decisions upheld on<br>gifts of vouchers: no output tax under<br>SI 1993/1507, and input tax in<br>principle deductible as overhead, but<br>no input tax on vouchers issued by<br>retailer | Apr 17 2.12.1 |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Court of Appeal: <i>R v Chada and others</i>         | Appeal against sentence for<br>involvement in VAT fraud dismissed                                                                                                                                           | Apr 17 6.9.8  |

# 8 Case law: High Court/Upper Tribunal

| 5                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                              |               |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| High Court: Ahmed v Swedish<br>Economic Crime Authority                                      | Extradition to Sweden in relation to VAT fraud prosecution confirmed                                                                                         | Apr 17 6.9.9  |
| High Court: Bilta (UK) Ltd (in<br>liquidation) and others v SVS<br>Securities plc and others | Pre-trial procedures in relation to<br>emissions trading fraud case                                                                                          | Apr 17 6.9.10 |
| Upper Tribunal: Adecco UK Ltd and others v HMRC                                              | Full consideration paid by clients for<br>temp workers was subject to VAT                                                                                    | Apr 17 2.9.1  |
| Upper Tribunal: Gala 1 Ltd v HMRC                                                            | Only representative member could<br>claim repayment of overpaid VAT;<br>claim by subsidiary was not valid                                                    | Apr 17 6.1.1  |
| Upper Tribunal: <i>HMRC v TGH</i><br>( <i>Commercial</i> ) <i>Ltd</i>                        | HMRC could not insist on an Upper<br>Tribunal hearing where the taxpayer<br>had withdrawn from the case                                                      | Apr 17 6.8.5  |
| Upper Tribunal: <i>HMRC v Wheels</i><br><i>Private Hire</i>                                  | Taxi firm made separate supplies of car hire and insurance to drivers                                                                                        | Apr 17 2.8.1  |
| Upper Tribunal: NHS Greater<br>Glasgow and Clyde Health Board v<br>HMRC                      | FTT decision to refuse repayment claim by health board was upheld                                                                                            | Apr 17 6.4.1  |
| Upper Tribunal: Oak Tree Motor<br>Homes Ltd v HMRC                                           | FTT had not erred in deciding that motor homes were not "caravans"                                                                                           | Apr 17 2.4.1  |
| Upper Tribunal: Swanfield Ltd and others v HMRC                                              | Trader is entitled to allocate current<br>payments to current liabilities in order<br>to reduce surcharges; case remitted to<br>FTT to consider consequences | Apr 17 6.8.1  |
| Upper Tribunal: Synectiv Ltd v HMRC                                                          | MTIC case remitted to FTT for rehearing                                                                                                                      | Apr 17 5.8.2  |
| Upper Tribunal: <i>Taylor Wimpey plc v</i><br><i>HMRC</i>                                    | Upper Tribunal sets out principles for<br>historic repayment claim in relation to                                                                            | Apr 17 6.4.2  |

|                                                              | input tax subject to "builder's block"                                                                            |              |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Upper Tribunal: U-Drive Ltd and others v HMRC                | Car hire company did not receive<br>services from garages when it paid for<br>third party vehicles to be repaired | Apr 17 5.2.1 |
| Upper Tribunal: Westminster Trading<br>Ltd and others v HMRC | FTT had not acted unreasonably in refusing an adjournment in a MTIC case                                          | Apr 17 6.8.5 |

# 9 Case law: First Tier Tribunal

| Company payment to advisers for tax<br>avoidance scheme qualified for input<br>tax deduction                                                  | Apr 17 5.6.1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Trader allowed to change grounds of<br>appeal – different legal argument did<br>not fundamentally change the nature<br>of the claim           | Apr 17 6.8.4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Company's dishonesty penalty was validly allocated to director                                                                                | Apr 17 6.8.2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Appeal against security notice rejected                                                                                                       | Apr 17 6.9.11                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                    | Apr 17 6.8.1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                    | Apr 17 6.8.1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Retrospective planning permission<br>could not validate zero-rating of<br>construction                                                        | Apr 17 3.3.1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                    | Apr 17 6.8.1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Taxpayer's apportionment of income<br>from caravans and pitch rentals was<br>fair; pitch on its own was VATable                               | Apr 17 2.8.2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                    | Apr 17 6.8.1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Extrapolation in assessment was "to best judgement"                                                                                           | Apr 17 6.7.2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Trader succeeds in 30 of 32 deals in<br>missing trader case – similar to other<br>deals where no fraud alleged, so no<br>"means of knowledge" | Apr 17 5.8.2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Penalty for failing to point out inadequate assessment upheld                                                                                 | Apr 17 6.8.2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Condition was prohibition on separate use or disposal, so DIY claim refused                                                                   | Apr 17 3.4.1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                                               | avoidance scheme qualified for input<br>tax deduction<br>Trader allowed to change grounds of<br>appeal – different legal argument did<br>not fundamentally change the nature<br>of the claim<br>Company's dishonesty penalty was<br>validly allocated to director<br>Appeal against security notice rejected<br>Surcharge appeal dismissed<br>Surcharge appeal dismissed<br>Retrospective planning permission<br>could not validate zero-rating of<br>construction<br>Surcharge appeal dismissed<br>Taxpayer's apportionment of income<br>from caravans and pitch rentals was<br>fair; pitch on its own was VATable<br>Surcharge appeal dismissed<br>Extrapolation in assessment was "to<br>best judgement"<br>Trader succeeds in 30 of 32 deals in<br>missing trader case – similar to other<br>deals where no fraud alleged, so no<br>"means of knowledge"<br>Penalty for failing to point out<br>inadequate assessment upheld<br>Condition was prohibition on separate |

| Treanor                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                 |              |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05598):<br>Gravel Road Records Ltd                           | HMRC were wrong to deregister a company that never made any supplies: it was in business                                                        | Apr 17 6.2.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05604):<br>Branded Garden Products Ltd                       | HMRC were entitled to decide that<br>edible flowers were not "food"                                                                             | Apr 17 2.4.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05607):<br>Fashionizer Ltd                                   | Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                      | Apr 17 6.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05608):<br>Hortus Blackheath Ltd                             | Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                      | Apr 17 6.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05610): XG<br>Concept Ltd                                    | Appeal struck out for failure to engage with process                                                                                            | Apr 17 6.8.6 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05611):<br>Avalaya.com Partnership                           | Receipts for Amazon sales were<br>repayment of a loan to the supplier,<br>not proceeds of sale in hands of<br>recipient                         | Apr 17 2.9.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05612): Taylor<br>Construction Ltd                           | Company's failure to respond to<br>letters lost it the benefit of 100%<br>mitigation of late registration penalty                               | Apr 17 6.8.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05613): JTS<br>Plumbing & Mechanical Services Ltd            | Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                      | Apr 17 6.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05617): Arthur<br>Edwyn Turner t/a Wicked Wang<br>Promotions | Administrative mess in dispute about<br>whether a business was registrable and<br>could recover input tax                                       | Apr 17 6.2.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05619): <i>Tarn-Pure AG Ltd</i>                              | Repayment supplement not due as<br>HMRC had issued internal instruction<br>to pay within the 30 days allowed                                    | Apr 17 6.4.3 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05621): Jason<br>Campbell                                    | Condition was prohibition on separate<br>use or disposal, so DIY claim refused                                                                  | Apr 17 3.4.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05622):<br>Terence Patrick Brady                             | Payment by owner of company to<br>liquidator was a refund of rent, not a<br>legal claim outside the scope of VAT                                | Apr 17 2.7.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05624):<br>Master Wishmakers Ltd                             | Retrospective planning permission<br>could not validate zero-rating of<br>construction                                                          | Apr 17 3.3.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05626):<br>Richard Swindell                                  | Condition was prohibition on separate<br>use or disposal, so DIY claim refused                                                                  | Apr 17 3.4.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05634):<br>Marriott Rewards LLC and another                  | Hotel rewards points scheme<br>considered: redemption payments not<br>land related after 1 January 2010, but<br>US company did receive a supply | Apr 17 5.2.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05636):<br>Grange Shipping Ltd                               | Import agent did not qualify for any<br>special relief from import duty paid on<br>behalf of insolvent customer                                 | Apr 17 4.3.5 |

| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05639):<br>University of Newcastle Upon Tyne | Student recruitment fees were fully<br>subject to reverse charge from 1<br>January 2010, with no input tax<br>recovery                                       | Apr 17 4.2.1 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05643): Marsh<br>(Bolton) Ltd and others     | FTT considers lawfulness of road fuel<br>input tax regulations, and concludes<br>that HMRC are entitled to insist on<br>retention of VAT invoices            | Apr 17 5.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05648): JTC<br>Environmental Ltd             | Application to appeal out of time refused                                                                                                                    | Apr 17 6.8.3 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05649): <i>M</i> & <i>M Management Ltd</i>   | Temporary importation did not satisfy conditions for "samples relief"                                                                                        | Apr 17 4.3.4 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05654): Promo<br>International Ltd           | Substantial errors committed by<br>company's accountant were "careless"<br>rather than deliberate from the<br>company's point of view; could be<br>suspended | Apr 17 6.8.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05661): <i>Chun</i><br><i>Wah Lok</i>        | Extrapolation in assessment was "to best judgement"                                                                                                          | Apr 17 6.7.3 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05663):<br>Mohamed El-Baghdadi               | Furnished holiday letting was subject to output tax                                                                                                          | Apr 17 3.1.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05670):<br>Fogarty (Filled Products) Ltd     | Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                                   | Apr 17 6.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05671):<br>Gullane Golf Club                 | Failure to appeal refusal of <i>Bridport</i> claim meant that claim failed                                                                                   | Apr 17 6.8.3 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05672): MOC (Scotland) Ltd                   | HMRC administrative muddle,<br>combined with other difficulties,<br>constituted reasonable excuse for<br>surcharge                                           | Apr 17 6.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05681):<br>Anthony Mullan and others         | Northern Ireland car dealer held to<br>have means of knowledge in relation<br>to cars sold to missing traders                                                | Apr 17 5.8.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05687): James<br>Redman                      | Condition was prohibition on separate use or disposal, so DIY claim refused                                                                                  | Apr 17 3.4.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05689): The<br>Baker Street Kitchen          | Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                                   | Apr 17 6.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05690): Keith Allen                          | Trader produced no evidence to displace best judgement assessments                                                                                           | Apr 17 6.7.4 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05691):<br>Deezer Ltd                        | Business had been acquired as a going concern so was registrable from the outset                                                                             | Apr 17 6.2.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05693):<br>Anthony Mullan and others         | Northern Ireland car dealer held not to<br>satisfy zero rating conditions for sales<br>to Irish customers                                                    | Apr 17 5.8.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05694): Quitie                               | Satisfaction of planning conditions                                                                                                                          | Apr 17 3.3.2 |

| Ltd                                                                                      | only to be considered when building completed: zero rating allowed                                                                        |              |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05695): <i>Right</i><br>International Ltd                         | Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                | Apr 17 6.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05701):<br>Composite Technologies Ltd                             | Surcharge appeal dismissed                                                                                                                | Apr 17 6.8.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05702):<br>Nicholas Gayle t/a Photogen Promo<br>Music Adverts Ltd | Individual who had attempted to<br>register for VAT five times was not<br>entitled to registration of a company;<br>penalty was confirmed | Apr 17 6.2.2 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05704): <i>Philip Hargreaves</i>                                  | DIY claim refused where VAT<br>wrongly charged by builders                                                                                | Apr 17 3.4.1 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05707): <i>Hilden</i><br><i>Park LLP</i>                          | Case management directions in case<br>about VAT avoidance arrangements                                                                    | Apr 17 6.8.5 |
| First-Tier Tribunal (TC05713): The<br>Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc                   | Assessment was issued within 12<br>months of HMRC having sufficient<br>information to justify its issue                                   | Apr 17 6.7.1 |

#### 10 Other material

| Taxation, 12 January 2017  | Article about changes to the Flat Rate<br>Scheme                                                        | Apr 17 6.3.1  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Taxation, 26 January 2017  | Article considers importance of clear contracts in tripartite situations                                | Apr 17 2.12.2 |
| Taxation, 9 February 2017  | Basics article about VAT on property                                                                    | Apr 17 3.5.1  |
| Taxation, 16 February 2017 | Article about <i>Water Property Ltd</i> (TC05450) case on option to tax                                 | Apr 17 3.2.1  |
| Taxation, 2 March 2017     | Article about changes to the Flat Rate<br>Scheme                                                        | Apr 17 6.3.1  |
| Taxation, 16 March 2017    | Article about changes to the Flat Rate<br>Scheme, including catches for those<br>choosing to deregister | Apr 17 6.3.1  |

#### 11 Lectures

#### 2.1 Scope of VAT

| Distortion of Competition | 2.1.1 | Apr 17 |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|
|---------------------------|-------|--------|

#### 2.2 Disbursements

#### 2.3 Exemptions

| Direct Effect of Exemptions 2.3.1 Apr 17 |
|------------------------------------------|
|------------------------------------------|

#### 2.4 Zero-rating

| $2.4.1 - 2 \qquad \text{Apr } 17$ |
|-----------------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|

#### 2.5 Lower rating

#### 2.6 Computational matters

#### 2.7 Discounts, rebates and gifts

| 2.7 Discounts, rebates and gifts    |                        |        |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Rent Rebate                         | 2.7.1                  | Apr 17 |
| 2.8 Compound and multiple supplies  | 3                      |        |
| Compound and Multiple Supplies      | 2.8.1 – 2              | Apr 17 |
| 2.9 Agency                          |                        |        |
| Agency Supplies                     | 2.9.1 – 2              | Apr 17 |
| 2.10 Second hand goods              |                        |        |
| Second Hand Goods                   | 2.10.1                 | Apr 17 |
| 2.11 Charities                      |                        |        |
| 2.12 Other supply problems          |                        |        |
| Gift Vouchers                       | 2.12.1                 | Apr 17 |
| Hairdressers' Contracts             | 2.12.2                 | Apr 17 |
| 3.1 Exemption for land              |                        |        |
| Furnished Holiday Letting           | 3.1.1                  | Apr 17 |
| 3.2 Option to tax                   |                        |        |
| 3.3/3.4 Builders and developers, In | put tax claims on land |        |
| Builders and DIY                    | 3.3 – 3.4              | Apr 17 |
| 3.5 Other land problems             |                        |        |
| 4.1 E-commerce                      |                        |        |
| MOSS Reminder                       | 4.1.1                  | Apr 17 |
| 4.2 International services          |                        |        |

| University Recruitment | 4.2.1 | Apr 17 |
|------------------------|-------|--------|

#### 4.3 International goods

| Split Payments                    | 4.3.1     | Apr 17 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| CJEU Rules on International Goods | 4.3.2 - 3 | Apr 17 |
| UK Rules on International Goods   | 4.3.4 - 5 | Apr 17 |

#### 4.4 European rules

| CJEU Roundup 4.4.2 - 4 Apr 17 |
|-------------------------------|
|-------------------------------|

#### 4.5 8th and 13th Directive claims

| 5.1 Economic activity            |           |        |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Holding Company Input Tax        | 5.1.1     | Apr 17 |
| 5.2 Who receives the supply?     |           |        |
| Who Receives the Supply?         | 5.2.1 – 2 | Apr 17 |
| 5.3 Partial exemption            |           |        |
| 5.4 Cars                         |           |        |
| 5.4 Cars                         |           |        |
| 5.6 Non-business use of supplies |           |        |
| Non-Business Purpose?            | 5.6.1     | Apr 17 |
| 5.7 Bad debt relief              |           |        |
| Bad Debt Claims                  | 5.7.1     | Apr 17 |
| 5.8 Other input tax problems     | l         | 1      |
| Input Tax on Fuel                | 5.8.1     | Apr 17 |
| Missing Traders                  | 5.8.2     | Apr 17 |
| 6.1 Group registration           | i         |        |
| Group Repayment Claims           | 6.1.1     | Apr 17 |
| 6.2 Other registration issues    | i         |        |
| Registration Disputes            | 6.2.1 – 2 | Apr 17 |
| 6.3 Returns and payments         |           | ·      |
| Flat Rate Scheme                 | 6.3.1 –2  | Apr 17 |
| 6.4 Repayment claims             |           |        |
| Fleming Claims                   | 6.4.1 – 2 | Apr 17 |
| Repayment Supplement             | 6.4.3     | Apr 17 |
| 6.5 Timing issues                |           |        |
| 6.6 Records                      |           |        |
| 6.7 Assessments                  |           |        |
| Assessments                      | 6.7.1 – 5 | Apr 17 |
| 6.8 Penalties and appeals        |           |        |
| Default Surcharge                | 6.8.1     | Apr 17 |

| Default Surcharge  | 6.8.1     | Apr 17 |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|
| Other Penalties    | 6.8.2     | Apr 17 |
| Appeals Procedures | 6.8.3 – 6 | Apr 17 |

#### 6.9 Other administration

| Brexit                       | 6.9.1 | Apr 17 |
|------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Making Tax Digital           | 6.9.2 | Apr 17 |
| March Budget                 | 6.9.3 | Apr 17 |
| Office of Tax Simplification | 6.9.4 | Apr 17 |